



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ea

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/029,901	12/18/2001	Dannie E. Martin	010547	5104

26285 7590 07/02/2003

KIRKPATRICK & LOCKHART LLP
535 SMITHFIELD STREET
PITTSBURGH, PA 15222

EXAMINER

BUI, LUAN KIM

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3728

DATE MAILED: 07/02/2003

6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

N.K.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/029,901

Applicant(s)

MARTIN, DANNIE E.

Examiner

Luan K Bui

Art Unit

3728

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 June 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____.
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-18 in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1, the phrase "attached to pocket" is incomplete and indefinite. It should be replaced with --attached to the pocket--. In claim 7, the phrase "the fastener" lacks proper antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1, 4, 7-9, 12 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Klutznick (5,526,924). Klutznick discloses a holder (10) comprising a body (12) having a pocket (26) with a pocket opening, a pocket closure (34-36) including a flap attached to the pocket and a retainer (62, 64, 92) for removably coupling the body to a portion of the device (Figures 1-4). The holder of Klutznick is inherently capable of receiving at least one memory

Art Unit: 3728

component of a device. As to claim 4, Klutznick discloses the retainer comprises a piece of hook and loop material attached to the body and to a portion of the device. As to claims 7-9, Klutznick discloses the retainer comprises a strap-receiving loop (62, 64, 92) coupled to the body.

6. Claims 1, 4, 7-9, 12 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Klaczak et al. (4,896,805; hereinafter Klaczak'805). Klaczak'805 shows a holder (10) for an electronic device (8) comprising a body (12-20) having a pocket therein sized to receive at least one electronic device, a pocket closure (24) including a flap with hook and loop material (40, 42) for securing the device within the pocket and a retainer (28) for removably coupling the body to a portion of a user (Figures 1-3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dedow (4,471,872) in view of Klaczak et al. (4,896,805; hereinafter Klaczak'805) and Racca (6,182,878). Dedow discloses a holder (50) for a removable electrostatic sensitive component/memory component of a device comprising a body formed from an antistatic material having a pocket (52) with a pocket opening, a pocket closure (70-74) including a flap attached to

the pocket (Figures 5 and 7). Dedow further discloses a write-on area/identifying indicia (35) to facilitates ship-out and receiving by identifying component (24) by part number. Dedow also discloses the other claimed limitations except for a retainer for removably coupling the body to a portion of the device. Klaczak'805 shows a holder (10) for an electronic device (8) comprising a body (12-20) having a pocket therein sized to receive at least one electronic device, a pocket closure (24) including a flap with hook and loop material (40, 42) for securing the device within the pocket and a retainer (28) for removably coupling the body to a portion of a user (Figures 1-3). Racca suggests a holder (10) for an electronic device (12) comprising a body (16-22) having a pocket therein sized to receive at least one electronic device, a pocket closure (22) including a flap with hook and loop material (24, 26) for securing the device within the pocket and a retainer (40) for removably coupling the body to a portion of a user (Figure 2). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made in view of Klaczak'805 and Racca to modify the holder of Dedow so the body includes a retainer for removably coupling the body to a portion of the device to facilitate securing the holder to a user and to facilitate removing the device from the holder.

As to claim 3, Dedow discloses the pocket is lined with an antistatic material (34).

As to claims 5-10, the holder of Dedow as modified fails to show the retainer comprises a clip or a recess engages with a protrusion or a strap-receiving loop comprises an elongate member coupled to the body. The selection of the specific retainer such as the retainer of Dedow as modified or the retainer as claimed would have been an obvious matter of design choice of art

Art Unit: 3728

recognized equivalent retainer inasmuch as a number of different ones appear to be suitable and inasmuch as applicant's specification does not state that using these specific features as claimed solves any particular problem or yields any unexpected results.

As to claim 13, Dedow shows the holder comprises an insulating material (32) in the pocket.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luan K. Bui whose telephone number is (703) 305-5861. If in receiving this Office Action, it is apparent to Applicant that certain documents are missing from the record for example copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers should be directed to TC 3700 Customer Service at (703) 306-5648.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148. Facsimile correspondence for this application should be sent to (703) 305-3580 or (703) 872-9302 for Formal papers and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

lkb
June 26, 2003



Luan K. Bui
Primary Examiner